Planning Board Meeting

1

44

45

46

2	7:00 p.m. November 4, 2025 at the Meeting House
3 4	Members Present: Brendan O'Donnell (Chair), Rich Marcou (Vice-Chair), Greg Meeh, Logan
5	Snyder, Megan Portnoy, Joshua Gordon (via Zoom), Scott Doherty (Selectboard Rep)
6	
7	Members Absent: Hillary Nelson (Alternate), Clifton Mathieu (Alternate, present but in
8 9	audience)
10	Others Present: Kal McKay (Admin Assistant), Beryl Boisvert, Calvin Todd (Selectboard),
11	Keith Anastasy (Applicant), Sam Foisie (Meridian), Erol Duymazlar (Applicant), Tim Scheedy
12	(Applicant's Attorney), A. Eli Leino (Applicant's Attorney), Nicolo Anastasy (Meridian), Beth
13	Blair (Selectboard), Lisa Carlson, Matt Monahan (CNHRPC)
14	
15 16	Call to Order The Planning Board meeting was called to order at 7:04 p.m.
17	Brendan noted that Joshua Gordon was participating remotely.
18	Brendam noted that voshda Gordon was participating remotery.
19	Kal sent out the 10/28/25 draft minutes today, so Brendan suggested postponing approval until
20	the next meeting.
21	Greg moved to postpone approval of the 10/28/25 draft minutes until the next meeting. Logan
22 23	seconded. All in favor by roll call, motion carried.
24	Hildreth Farm West Road Hearing
25	This hearing was continued from the Planning Board meeting on October 28, 2025.
26	
27	One item requested last time was a firetruck turning radius exhibit. Mr. Foisie showed a map
28	with a diagram demonstrating that a ladder truck (40+ ft) could navigate the site. He will submit
29 30	this exhibit for the record. Brendan spoke with Ken Folsom, who confirmed that Fire Chief Gamache had no concerns with
31	the responses that Meridian presented at the previous meeting.
32	the responses that internation presented at the previous inceeding.
33	Mr. Foisie showed that the plan demonstrated that another water cistern could be added, and the
34	already planned cistern could be expanded. In total, this could provide up to 80K gallons of
35	water. They expect this to be more than enough for whatever commercial use goes into the front
36 37	lot. Some businesses such as gas stations and lumber yards might require more than others, but a
38	fire protection engineer would be brought in on the site plan to ensure whatever was built would have sufficient fire suppression resources in place.
39	The Board decided that the easement to be able to create the larger cisterns should be a condition
40	of approval just in case they are needed later on.
41	- -
42	Joshua asked if the applicants intended to make Commercial 2 and Commercial 3 pad ready, as
43	they plan to do with Commercial 1.

Mr. Foisie explained that the southern lots are already flat and mostly cleared. They don't want

to strip the top layer off yet because it will destabilize the dirt. For the front lot, there is more to

do because of the size constraints. In order to leave sufficient room for a septic, they needed to

- 47 tie Com 1 into the well and storm water systems for the residential development. For this process
- they will stub in a culvert, clear and level Com 1, and then stabilize it with gravel or loam and
- seed. Com 2 and 3 will not need the same amount of physical prep to make them sellable, but
- they will be included in the alteration of terrain (AOT) permit, so the regulatory lift will be
- significantly reduced for the eventual buyer.

52

Mr. Foisie confirmed that they are not building the stormwater basin for the back lots or extending the road to the back lots in Phase 1.

55

Brendan asked about the General Notes on Sheet 2. General Note 28 talks about "assumption of liability". Mr. Foisie confirmed this was a placeholder note that should be struck from this plan.

58

- 59 There was discussion about how to address the concerns about walkability.
- Brendan suggested making the residential loop road a one-way road.
- The applicants suggested reducing the lane sizes and the speed and striping out a dedicated
- walking area on one side of the road.
- There was discussion about which option would be safer.
- A one-way street would result in more traffic in the loop and cause some cars to have to travel
- 65 the whole loop to reach their houses. That might incentivize some people to go the wrong way to
- 66 reach their house faster.
- Narrower lanes reduce speeds more effectively than signs. Because it is a private road, it could
- be posted lower than 30 mph.
- The Board and applicants agreed on a two-way road with two 10-foot driving lanes and a 4 ft
- walking lane, with a speed limit of 20 mph that could be lowered by the condo association later
- 71 if they wanted to.

72

- 73 There was discussion about parking.
- 74 The applicants don't want to limit house design options by forcing everyone to have a garage,
- but they do anticipate everyone wanting at least a 1-car garage. They suggested that residents
- could use the park and ride next to the highway, but no one wanted to sanction/encourage that
- because it is owned by the State.
- 78 Brendan spoke in favor of letting the condo association dictate their own parking policies. Some
- 79 Board members agreed, but some were still concerned that allowing the association to decide
- 80 could result in parking practices that would block emergency vehicles.

81

- 82 There was discussion about how a school bus would be able to navigate the development.
- 83 Because the development is private, if there was a school bus stop, it would be on West Road and
- signage would be controlled by the State. The stripped walking lane would continue up the
- access road, so kids could safely walk past Com 1 to the corner of West Road.

86

Mr. Foisie confirmed they will put stop signs at the intersections where the loop road meets the access road.

89

There was discussion about how to protect drivers/walkers from falling into the storm water basins. The applicants agreed to place boulders between the roads and the basins.

- 93 There was discussion about if the Board should limit the potential architectural styles. The 94 applicants don't intend to offer anything besides cape, colonial, ranch, and New England 95 farmhouse. Joshua felt it wasn't the Board's place to restrict designs. Brendan explained that the 96 Board does have the ability to and advocated for setting a limit. The Board agreed to limit the 97 design styles to the four listed by the applicant. 98 99 Section 6.4.I.4. of the Zoning Ordinance requires a program for future monitoring of easements 100 and permit conditions affecting the Designated Open Space. The Board discussed exactly how 101
 - this should work. The Conservation Commission monitors many conservation easements that the Town has, but this is a different type of easement. The Board and applicants agreed to stipulate that the Town could enter the Designated Open Space area as needed to monitor the easement.
- 105 There was discussion about what should be included in the condo association documents. 106 Maintaining the Designated Open Space, doing annual mowing/haying, and maintaining the infiltration basins using the provided manual were discussed previously. 107

102

103

104

108

113 114

115

116 117

118 119

120

121

122

123 124

125

126

127

128 129

130

131

132

- 109 There was discussion about how to satisfy the requirement in 6.4.I.3. that "legal instruments" 110 preserving the Designated Open Space be submitted to the Board. It could be in the condo 111 documents, the deeds, or the titles. It was also previously discussed that the existence of the 112 shooting range across the street should be disclosed in a similar manner.
 - The board discussed the tree barrier between the access road and I-93. The applicant would like to take down the minimum amount needed to maintain the road. The board agreed that the condo does should have a requirement to "maintain the existing continuous tree buffer" between the access road and I-93.
 - Greg advocated for also requiring that they keep a tree buffer between the highway and the southern commercial lots. The applicant did not want this to be a requirement because it could limit what businesses would want to build there. The Board decided to wait until the site-plan review to discuss this further. The applicants will leave the existing trees alone for now.
 - The applicants clarified that the buildable box for the residential buildings on the maps are 2K sq. ft, but the actual buildings will not be built to the edge. They expect the buildings to be 1500 – 1700 sq ft depending on the design of the house. The cost of the houses will range from about \$450-700K depending on the market. Right now the average home in NH costs over \$500K.
 - There was discussion about how the phasing could be structured to ensure the commercial development actually happens. Several Board members felt that the applicants were not following the spirit of the ordinance and weren't working with the Board to address their concerns. The applicants disagreed with that sentiment.
- 134 There was discussion about how the taxes will go up on the property once this subdivision goes 135 through. This will further incentivize the applicants to find businesses to sell the lots to. 136
- 137 There was further discussion about what site prep is planned for the southern commercial lots to 138 entice buyers. The applicants emphasized the value of the AOT permit and stormwater plan they

139 are doing. The applicants agreed to gravel the rest of the access road back to the southern 140 commercial lots so that the property is easier to show and a little more ready for development. 141 142 Brendan opened the hearing to public comment. 143 144 Lisa Carlson of Morrill Road asked how long it would take for one of the houses to be built. Mr. 145 Foisie responded that it would take about 6 months to prep the lot and construct the building. Ms. 146 Carlson spoke against the idea of forcing the applicants to phase the construction as some 147 number of residences, then a commercial, then more residences, etc. She pointed out that if she 148 moved in to one of the homes, she wouldn't want the disruption of living near construction to be 149 dragged out for years because there was a hold up on the commercial end. 150 151 Beth Blair of Hackleboro Road asked for clarity on the design and selling process for the 152 residential units. The applicants are currently proposing to let buyers choose from a variety of house designs. Once a lot is sold, they will build the house. 153 154 155 Calvin Todd of Barnett Road asked how the applicant plans to handle the building cap only 156 allowing them to construct ~8.5 houses a year. Brendan clarified that the applicant is aware of 157 the cap and will comply with it. Any issues will be between the applicant and the Building 158 Inspector/Selectboard. 159 160 Nicolo Anastasy spoke about how everything is going up for sale at the same time once the 161 necessary approvals are received. There was discussion about how at this point, the phasing is imaginary. The commercial lots will 162 163 need site-plan review and the applicants have agreed to start prep work for Com 1. The pace of 164 selling and the building cap will be the determining factors for when and in what order things are 165 built. 166 167 Kal McKay of Baptist Road read aloud a letter in favor of approving the application. They talked 168 about how the application as it is currently presented is legal and denying the application would 169 put the Town at risk of a lawsuit. They compared the cost of legal fees in taking this to court with 170 the potential increase in tax revenue that the development could bring in. They emphasized that 171 the applicant is within their right to use the 2024 version of the Zoning Ordinance and if there are 172 problems with the ordinance, the Board needs to fix those issues in future years. 173 174 Beryl Boisvert of New Road agreed with Kal. 175 176 Brendan closed the hearing to public comment and moved to the deliberative portion of the 177 meeting. He went around the table to get opinions. 178

Joshua spoke about how it would be a leap of faith to trust that a commercial use will come around. He doesn't think the applicant is incentivized to attract one. He acknowledged that the applicants have done all they can to make the sites attractive, but if it doesn't pan out, it will still

amount to rezoning the property from commercial to residential.

Greg spoke about how the Conditional Use Permit (CUP) is squishy because the applicant is granted total relief from dimensional standards such as minimum lot size, frontage, and setback requirements. Because the dimensional standards are waived, the Board has the responsibility to determine if the application meets the intent of the Zoning Ordinance and Master Plan. The intent of mixed-use and cluster developments was to jumpstart commercial development. The current application partially addresses some of the Master Plan, but undermines other parts. He has spoken with many residents and none of them supported the application.

Rich spoke about how he understands that the applicant isn't obligated to use the 2025 Zoning Ordinance in which this type of project would be limited to 50% residential, but this isn't even close to that. The commercial areas will be site ready, but no actual commercial development is planned at this point.

Logan spoke about how if this wasn't the only development that will ever be considered under the 2024 ordinance, they would be worried about this setting a precedent. They think the Board is butting up against the limits of what they can require from the applicant. They would love to see a different plan, but the one before the Board complies with the 2024 regulations.

Megan spoke about how the Board has a dual role as a semi-judicial body and as representatives of the community. She thinks it is fair under the CUP to ask for conditions and consider the applicant's intent. She disagreed with Kal's statement that the Board is approaching this from a "Not in my backyard" (NIMBY) perspective.

Scott spoke about how he has attended every meeting on this topic, even before he joined the Board, and has seen a lot of frustration. He thinks this is a very difficult position and a potentially precedent setting vote.

Brendan spoke about how difficult it is to write an ordinance in anticipation of what people might want to do in the future. He pointed out that in the Commercial District, a single family residence is an allowed use. More commercial than what is shown in the plan would be ideal, but it would be perfectly legal for the owner to make this entire property residential by building a single house. He agreed with many of the concessions the Board pushed for when considering whether or not to award the density bonus, but the overall use is allowed. The Board can still discuss conditions of approval, but this plan at least forces the owner to use those three lots for commercial purposes.

The Board reviewed the potential conditions for approval that had been brought up throughout the meeting. Lighting on the commercial lots will be addressed during the site-plan reviews. Signage is under the authority of the Selectboard.

- Greg spoke about how he doesn't believe the baseline density was calculated in compliance with the ordinance and the CUP regulations give the Board the authority to regulate based on the
- intent of the ordinance and Master Plan.
- Greg said that he would like to require the phasing idea where only a certain number of
- residences could be built per commercial lot. Joshua joined him and said that the CUP gives the
- Board the authority to require this phasing.

230	
231	

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241242

243244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

Brendan read aloud a list of potential conditions of approval.

- All conditions of approval must be added to the subdivision plan.
- Legal instruments preserving Designated Open Space in perpetuity must be submitted for review and recorded before any site work begins as per sections 6.4.I.2. and 3. of the 2024 Zoning Ordinance.
- Condominium documents must be submitted for Town review and recorded before any site work begins.
- The condominium documents must include the following requirements:
 - The Association must maintain the Designated Open Space, including at least annual mowing/haying of the open space area.
 - The Association must maintain the infiltration basins in accordance with current best practices.
 - The Association must maintain the existing tree buffer along the access road to lots Commercial 2 and Commercial 3, except as necessary to improve and maintain that access road.
- The Commercial 1 site and the gravel road to Commercial 2 must be prepared in Phase 1.
- General Note 28 must be struck from the subdivision plan.
- Stop signs must be installed at the intersections of the residential loop road and the access road.
- The residential loop road must have a four (4) foot marked designated walking area and be posted at 20 mph or lower.
- There must be a fence or other barrier put up between residential units 10/11/12, commercial units 2/3, and their side/rear boundaries with the Designated Open Space.
- There must be rocks or some other physical barrier between the roadway and the three infiltration basins.
- The Phase Notes on Sheet 8 must be amended to indicate that the items in Phase 2A will be concurrent with Phase 1.
- The residential architecture must be cape, colonial, ranch, or New England farmhouse style.
- The Town may enter the property to inspect the Designated Open Space area as reasonably required to determine compliance with Designated Open Space requirements.
- The applicant will add an easement to the plan for cistern expansion as may be necessary to enable the use of Commercial 1.
- The applicant will obtain all required State and Federal approvals including those listed on Sheet 1.

265266267

Joshua moved to approve the subdivision/CU application with the conditions of approval read aloud by Brendan. Megan seconded.

268269270

Joshua was concerned about regulatory creep, so "current best practices" was changed to "reasonable current best practices".

271272

The phrase "fence or other barrier" was questioned. The applicant had discussed split rail fencing, but the Board is mostly interested in a visual indicator that shows the difference between private yards and open space. They agreed on changing it to "fences as specified on the plan".

276	
277	There was discussion about if the timelines for phases should be included. The Board decided to
278	leave it the way Brendan stated it.
279	
280	There was discussion about the rock barrier. Greg was concerned about vehicles driving into the
281	open space. Mr. Foisie explained that the condo docs will ban all motorized vehicles from the
282	area. It was decided that a physical barrier would be better, so the condition was changed to have
283	the barrier "between the roadway and the three infiltration basins."
284	
285	Greg asked if the language to protect the tree barrier between the access road and I-93 should be
286	improved. After some discussion it was agreed to keep "maintain the existing tree buffer".
287	
288	Rich brought up that the for sale sign for the storage sheds near Exit 18 is still up. He would like
289	a guarantee that the for sale signage from this site will be removed promptly once the sales are
290	done. Brendan pointed out that enforcing the sign ordinance is the Selectboard's responsibility.
291	Scott will bring the storage shed for sale sign to the Selectboard.
292	Seem will exiling the storings block for suite engine to the storic end an
293	Brendan suggested changing the approvals requirement to include local along with state and
294	federal approvals. Mr. Foisie pointed out that the notes in the plan already specify compliance
295	with all local ordinances.
296	
297	Brendan called for a vote to approved the subdivision/CU application for the conditions of
298	approval as amended.
299	Scott, Megan, Logan, Brendan, Rich, and Joshua voted in favor. Greg voted against.
300	The application was approved.
301	and affirm and affirm and
302	Logan left the meeting.
303	
304	Adjournment
305	Joshua made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 9:29 p.m. Megan seconded. All in favor by roll
306	call, motion carried.
307	
308	The Board immediately reopened the meeting to discuss the agenda for the 11/25 meeting.
309	
310	The Selectboard will be providing a new CIP draft before the next meeting.
311	
312	Greg asked that the Board discuss the warrant article process and how the drafts are reviewed by
313	third parties like the Town's attorneys and CNHRPC. They do good work, but there was a
314	missing definition last time, so he would like to make sure it doesn't happen again.
315	
316	The Board voted again to adjourn the meeting. All in favor by roll call, motion carried. Meeting
317	was adjourned at 9:32 p.m.
318	
319	Next Meeting: 2025 November 25, 7:00 p.m. at the Meeting House
320	Minutes submitted by Kathleen McKay, Administrative Assistant