DRAFT Meeting Minutes for 10/16/25.

2 Wetlands Subcommittee of the Planning Board – Elkins Library Conference Room

- 3 **Present:** Kelly Short, Audra Klumb, Bob Steenson (Conservation Commission members)
- 4 **Absent:** Brendan O'Donnell (Planning Board chair), Megan Portnoy (Planning Board member).
- 5 Kelly chaired the meeting and called the meeting to order at 6:01 pm.
- 6 Prior to the meeting, Brendan sent committee members a memo summarizing wetland setback
- 7 approaches used by some nearby municipalities.
- 8 Brendan's memo also specified two question he'd like the subcommittee to answer:
- 9 (1) if the town were to adopt a wetland setback, what form should it adopt; and
- 10 (2) should the town adopt a wetland setback.
- 11 Taking this approach means that the subcommittee can provide the planning board with the
- details of a potential ordinance for the planning board's consideration along with a
- 13 recommendation of whether the subcommittee recommends proposing the ordinance or not.
- 14 That leaves the planning board to make the decision of whether to propose a wetland setback
- ordinance, following a public hearing with input from Canterbury residents.
- 16 **Discussion:** Subcommittee members discussed pros and cons of establishing a wetlands setback
- and which elements from other town's ordinances best suit Canterbury's needs.
- 18 While Canterbury does not seem to have a significant problem with the number and quality of
- 19 wetlands at present, there is concern that increasing pressure to build more housing could
- 20 create situations where wetlands are encroached upon by new buildings.
- 21 Bob noted that enforcement could be a significant burden on town government.
- 22 Kelly suggested setting criteria that don't over-restrict land use but do establish a baseline
- 23 buffer so one is in place as development pressure increases.

24 Points considered:

- Vernal pools are almost always a wetland. Not all spring puddles are wetlands. A layperson's
- test: if sneakers get wet walking through area in (regular weather) May, it's probably a
- wetland.

1

- Be clear what how the town will enforce the setback for all structures, not just dwellings.
- Need to specify how to measure the setback when there is an elevation change from the
- 30 wetland to surrounding upland.

- Since land uses can be more destructive than structures, land disturbances should also be prohibited within the buffer.
- When communicating about a proposed ordinance, remind people they should follow NH's
 best management practices (e.g., for forestry or agriculture) to reduce runoff and other
 impacts on wetlands.
- An ordinance would be a message to land owners to check with the town to be sure their
 project does not encroach on a wetland.
- We should think about whether there are uses that cross or go near wetlands that need to be addressed.

40

41

47

48

50 51

First draft, elements for a Canterbury wetlands setback ordinance:

- 42 Establish a buffer from wetlands, vernal pools and surface waters that prohibits structures
- within 25 feet and land disturbing activities (such as grading and filling) within 15 feet.
- Applies to wetland areas over 2,000 square feet, all vernal pools, and surface waters.
- Measured from edge of wetland to edge of soil disturbance (e.g., foundation of structure).
 - Use NH state definitions of wetlands, vernal pools, surface water.
 - Existing buildings and uses are grandfathered.
- Note that invasive species can always be removed.
 - Exclusions: Maintained ditches, storm water retention systems, man-made detention basins, treatment swales, and manmade ponds including fire ponds and farm ponds.
- Allow dry hydrants by exception.
- Uses for which the state grants a wetlands permit (e.g. for a driveway to cross a wetland,
 which would necessitate entering the 25' buffer zone) are allowed.
- Other conditions and criteria for exceptions still to be determined.
- Use a setback ordinance, not an overlay district. The ZBA can allow special exceptions.
- 57 Bob suggested sharing with Town Administrator Ken Folsom and Code Enforcement Officer Joel
- 58 French for their input on logistics and enforcement.
- For informational purposes: 2000 sq ft < 16th of an acre; an area roughly 20x100 feet

60 **Scheduling**

- 61 Kelly will send a Doodle scheduling poll to all members to set the next meeting date(s).
- 62 The meeting adjourned at 7:29
- 63 Minutes submitted by Kelly Short