

Re: Additional research for my presentation

From Roy Plisko <rplisko.canterburynh@gmail.com>

Date Fri 4/25/2025 12:59 AM

To Rich Marcou <rmarcou@canterburynh.gov>



Landfill research PFAS Results A1-A3 with AGQS Multiples.xlsx; Landfill Research Surface Water PFAS Results SW1-SW5 with AGQS Multiples.xlsx; Landfill Research Iron and Lead Results SW-1 with SWQC Multiples.xlsx;

Hi Rich,

Thank you for your support.

Regarding the walkthrough: I know I vented to you a bit, but my purpose in asking the engineer that question wasn't really to press the issue on-site. I wanted to gauge how much confidence we should place in Aries' assessments. I already had the answers in hand and wanted to compare their response to the documented record (and to make sure you saw that record as well). Unfortunately, the reply followed the same broad reassurances we've heard before: that there are "no detected problems" and "no significant changes." But based on what I've uncovered so far, the documentation tells a very different story.

To be candid, the more I research, the less confident I am that Aries has consistently acted in the town's best interest... especially in light of NHDES correspondence and the omissions in their follow-up reporting. It increasingly appears that the time for corrective action may have been around 2018... and their biggest move since then has been to file more test reports with less data. Still, I know I'm not steering the ship. I just want to make sure everyone on board knows where the rocks are.

Attached are the draft tables I created from the groundwater and surface water testing data, all derived directly from the same reports Aries referenced during our walkthrough. I'm still in the process of refining the full presentation and handouts, and I'll make sure you have the final version well ahead of the meeting. I just wanted to give you a sense of the scope early so nothing comes as a surprise.

Thanks again for hearing me out.

Best,

Roy

Roy,

I greatly appreciate your doing all this work digging this up. It is all stuff I did not know about. It is stuff I was not even <u>aware</u> of. We will dig into it and see what they all have to say. I don't think this is common knowledge in the community.

Regarding yesterday. Thank you for your restraint. That would've been the wrong time and place to bring any of this up. Yesterday's action was to identify the scope and parameters for the work that Aries will be doing. This would need to be completed regardless, and it took much effort to get it started. That's where we are at and why we were there yesterday. It is more data that we need.

Your information indicates and outlines an environmental issue with the site. It most clearly gives reason to move forward and get out of there.

Thank you again for not pushing the issue with Aries. I am sure Ken heard you ask the question, and it would've been hard to miss that you had documents in your hand.

We will talk again soon,

Rich

From: Roy Plisko < rplisko.canterburynh@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2025 10:58 AM

To: Rich Marcou < <u>rmarcou@canterburynh.gov</u> > **Subject:** Additional research for my presentation

Rich,

Attached is additional research I plan to include in my presentation. It also comes from the publicly available information on the NHDES website. It is a summary of the correspondence between NHDES and the town from 2017 to 2021 (which is when the most recent Groundwater Management Permit was applied for). Along with the summary, I am also including the original documents.

I am also compiling the history of the groundwater and surface water testing results from 2014-2024 including the results for iron, lead Manganese and PFAS. I will send those in a later email when they

are completed.

I hope this helps to explain my concerns during our walkthrough.