1		Town of Contorbury, NU
1		Town of Canterbury, NH Zoning Board of Adjustment – Draft Minutes
2 3		Date: 2025 March 26
4		
4 5		Location: Meeting House
5 6		Case No. 2025-1 Special Exception
7	Board	Members Present: Jim Wieck (Chair), Web Stout, Sean O'Brien, Lisa Carlson (Alternate)
8	Dourd	members Present. Jun Wieck (chair), Web Stout, Sean & Brien, Lisa Carison (Meenado)
9	Others	Present: Brian Gaessler, Jennifer Gaessler, Chris Nicolopoulos, Calvin Todd, Bob Steenson,
10		Blair, Kelly Short, Ellen Bassett, Mindy Beltramo
11		
12	1. Th	e meeting was called to order at 7 p.m.
13		sa Carlson was seated as voting member.
14		se No. 2025-1 Special Exception
15		Brian and Jennifer Gaessler are seeking a special exception as regards property located at 49
16		Hackleboro Road, Tax Map 103, Lot 15 located in the residential district. The proposed use
17		states "home office" for an electrical business. The application references Article 5, Section 5.3
18		of the Canterbury Zoning Ordinance.
19	b.	Chairman Wieck gave a detailed description as to the conduct of the hearing procedure.
20		Brian Gaessler and his attorney, Chris Nicolopoulos, presented the application.
21		i. Mr. Nicolopoulos explained that 7 or 8 months ago, the Gaessler's learned that there was a
22		question about needing a Special Exception (SE) to run a business out of the property.
23		ii. Mr. Gaessler is an electrician and runs a business with four employees. The applicants do
24		not believe that this business is a home business because Mr. Gaessler doesn't meet clients,
25		produce products or hold meetings at the property, or have business products delivered to
26		his home.
27		iii. Mr. Gaessler has a truck that belongs to the business which he drives home at night.
28		iv. One of Mr. Gaessler's employees is his nephew, who comes to the house every morning to
29		carpool to work together.
30		v. There is no sign for the business at the property.
31		vi. To appease the Town, they filled out this paperwork and applied for an SE, but they do not
32		think it is needed.
33	d.	Chairman Wieck asked if anyone present wanted to speak in favor of granting the special
34		exception. No one spoke.
35	e.	Chairman Wieck asked if anyone present wanted to speak against granting the special
36		exception.
37		i. Bob Steenson (61 Hackleboro Road)
38		1. Mr. Steenson explained that he and the other neighbors are concerned about the paved
39		parking lot, parking lot lighting, dumpster in a prominently visible location, an
40		externally illuminated sign (not related to the business), metal warehouse storage
41		building, outdoor storage of vehicles, trailers, and other equipment related to the
42		business.
43		2. Mr. Steenson stated that the Zoning Ordinance Section 2.5 defines a home office
44		occupation as being entirely inside the dwelling unit or accessory structure.
45		3. Mr. Steenson quoted 2.5.A. which states that the use must be "incidental and secondary
46		to use of the premises for residential purposes". He believes that due to the size of the
47		parking lot, storage building, and quantity of equipment, the business might be the
48		primary use of the site.

49	2	4. Mr. Steenson quoted 2.5.D. which states "there is no exterior storage of commercial
50		material or equipment including the parking of more than one commercial vehicle". He
51		said that on average there are three open trailers, one closed trailer, an excavator, a small
52		tractor, a van, a pickup truck, and currently a pallet of what appears to be metal conduit.
53	4	5. Mr. Steenson continued to quote 2.5.D. which states there can be no "variation from the
54		residential character of the premises." He grants that the property is neat and clean, but
55		the parking lot, lighting, storage building, and equipment are not residential in character.
56	(	6. Mr. Steenson referenced 2.5.C. which says there cannot be offensive glare. He believes
57		that the lighting as currently installed would not pass site plan review by the Planning
58		Board. He quoted Site Plan Review Regulations section V.F.1. "outdoor lighting shall
59		not glare on abutting properties or on public highways or streets".
60	-	7. Mr. Steenson believes there is more to this property than a home office. If an SE were
61		granted, then they would need to come into compliance with the Zoning Ordinance,
62		including restoration of the residential character of the property. If it is not actually a
63		home occupation, then they should be here for a variance not special exception.
64	ii. I	Mindy Beltramo (158 Hackleboro Road)
65		1. Ms. Beltramo expressed concern about the second driveway at the bend in the road. It
66		has stones that get moved by various pieces of equipment and the rocks fall back into
67		the road. She considers this a traffic hazard.
68	iii. l	Kelly Short (107 Hackleboro Road)
69	]	1. Ms. Short expressed concern that the overall look and feel of the property is very
70		commercial. Hackleboro Road has been designated by the Town as a Scenic Road. She
71		reiterated the concerns about the size of the paved area, the commercial looking garage,
72		and the variety of vehicles parked on the property.
73	iv. I	Ellen Bassett (100 Hackleboro Road)
74	1	1. Ms. Bassett explained that the lighting is bright enough that it casts shadows hundreds
75		of yards away into the woods. She echoed the concerns about the property looking
76		commercial, the size of the parking lot, and the "obnoxious" lighting.
77	v. (	Other discussion
78	]	1. Ms. Beltramo asked if the SE is approved, will there be a limit to how many vehicles
79		they can have on the property? Chairman Wieck explained that the applicants would be
80		limited to the testimony provided. In this case, that would mean one commercial truck
81		and the nephew that comes over to carpool. If there was anything that wasn't consistent
82		with the Zoning Ordinance, that would be a matter for Code Enforcement.
83	4	2. Ms. Beltramo asked what the history of the property was. Jennifer Gaessler explained
84		how she was related to the previous owners and how the property came into their
85		possession.
86		3. Mr. Nicolopoulos responded to some of the comments detailed above. He believes the
87		comments don't address the core issue of if there is a business happening at the location,
88		just that the neighbors don't like the size of the driveway, the lighting, and the garage
89		material, etc. He said that Mr. Gaessler happens to have a lot of equipment that has
90		nothing to do with his business. There is a dumpster because the Town doesn't have
91		curbside pickup. The house is built to code and the primary use is residential.
92	2	4. Mr. Stout asked if the vehicles are registered. Two of the trailers are currently not
93		registered, when they were, they were registered to Mr. Gaessler personally.
94	4	5. Mr. Nicolopoulos stated that the lighting isn't display lighting and the sign saying
95		"Gaessler Home" is no longer lit.
96	ť	5. Mr. Gaessler stated that in regard to the rocks covering the driveway, the Town Hall
97		gave him approval to move them when he was hauling dirt.

98	f.	Chairman Wieck read aloud a letter from Hannah Sullivan of 41 Hackleboro Road.
99		i. Ms. Sullivan stated her support of home offices as defined in Section 2.5 of the Zoning
100		Ordinance, but questioned if a Special Exception was necessary in this case and if the
101		application was filed properly.
102		ii. Chairmen Wieck read this letter as in favor of the application.
103	g.	Chairman Wieck asked if anyone present wanted to speak against granting the special exception
104	5.	with information that hasn't already been stated.
105		i. Ellen Bassett (100 Hackleboro Road)
106		1. Ms. Bassett acknowledged that the light on the "Gaessler Home" sign had been taken
107		down. She said that her objection to the lighting is not personal preference, the lighting
108		that is currently in place looks like security lighting for a commercial equipment yard.
109		ii. Mindy Beltramo (158 Hackleboro Road)
110		1. Ms. Beltramo questioned if the letter from Hannah Sullivan was in favor or against the
111		application. Chairman Wieck said the Board would decide how to interpret it.
112		iii. Calvin Todd (11 Barnett Road)
112		1. Mr. Todd asked about the excavators that have business labeling/signage on them, does
114		that count towards the number of business vehicles allowed?
115		2. Mr. Nicolopoulos stated that the excavators are personally owned even though they have
116		stickers for the business on them. Mr. Gaessler has never used them on a jobsite and
117		they were delivered to the house. He has put stickers on them because he is proud of his
118		work.
119	h	Chairman Wieck asked if the Board had any further questions for the applicant.
120	11.	i. Mr. O'Brien said he was satisfied with the answers provided regarding the outside
120		equipment, the lighting, and the signage. Mr. Nicolopoulos clarified that the streetlight was
122		still up, but the light on the sign has been taken down.
123		ii. Chairman Wieck confirmed that the only piece of equipment actually associated with the
123		business was a single truck parked outside, there is no business activity conducted in the
125		shop, and no employees work at the property (just the nephew who comes in the morning to
126		carpool).
127		iii. Mr. Gaessler said that the paved area is a driveway not a parking lot. There is a box
128		truck/van that is used for the business and parked in the garage. The truck he drives is
129		personal and used to drive to/from work. It does have logos for the business, but he puts
130		logos on everything. If this SE is granted, he would be limited to one commercial truck.
131		iv. Mr. Stout didn't think that this should be considered a home occupation since Mr. Gaessler
132		isn't doing any work at home so most of Section 2.5 doesn't apply.
133		v. Chairman Wieck thought this use is close enough.
134		vi. Mr. O'Brien also didn't think this is a home occupation and questioned what the Gaessler's
135		are even applying for.
136		vii. Mr. Nicolopoulos stated that he and the Gaessler's also don't think this is a home
137		occupation, but he believes the Town told them to do the process anyway because one of the
138		neighbors is on the Selectboard.
139	i.	Chairman Wieck closed the hearing. The Board then deliberated.
140	1.	i. Mr. O'Brien felt this SE could be granted.
140		ii. Chairman Wieck said the Board could consider granting the SE, but they need to go through
142		each of the requirements and the Gaessler's didn't do that. Mr. Stout said that he did cover a
143		few of them. The Board decided that they could ask the applicant to address each
144		requirement.
1 44		requirement.

145	iii. Ms. Carlson questioned if an SE for home occupation could be granted if what Mr. Gaessler
146	is doing isn't a home occupation. She suggested that if this wasn't votable, then this could
147	just be considered an informational session.
148	iv. Chairman Wieck felt that it is close enough to a home occupation since there are vehicles
149	and it wouldn't be unreasonable that they could be conducting office work.
150	v. Mr. Stout compared the situation to a carpenter who lives at home but has a shop elsewhere;
151	that would not be considered a home occupation.
152	vi. Mr. O'Brien felt that granting this SE gives the applicant the option to operate as a home
153	office while also restricting them to operate under this criteria.
154	vii. Mr. Nicolopoulos was asked to address each of the relevant criteria. He first stated that they
155	would prefer the situation not be considered a home occupation because no business is
156	being conducted on the property. He then addressed specific parts of the ordinance.
157 158	1. 2.5.A. The Gaessler's live in the house, that is the primary use of the property. There is
158 159	some parking for a car or two.
1 <i>59</i> 160	2. 2.5.B. Sometimes the nephew parks his car there, but no employees work on the
161	property ever. 3. 2.5.C. There is no offensive noise, traffic, vibration, smoke, dust, odors, heat, glare, or
162	unsightliness.
162	4. 2.5.D. There is no exterior storage of commercial material or equipment. The excavator
164	and trailers are personal and they will remove the labeling.
165	5. 2.5.E. There is plenty of parking, only the nephew tends to park his car there.
166	<ul><li>6. 2.5.F. It is not a commercial zone.</li></ul>
167	7. 8.1.A. The use of the property for business is minimal and will not affect the
168	neighborhood. It is an appropriate location and there are no affects on health and safety.
169	The business is not a nuisance, it would be in the spirit of the ordinance to approve the
170	SE, and the use presents no hazard.
171	viii. Mr. O'Brien made a motion to grant the application in case 2025-1 for Brian and
172	Jennifer Gaessler as they have met the minimum requirements for a Special Exception. Mr.
173	Stout seconded the motion. All in favor by roll call, motion carried.
174	ix. Chairman Wieck reminded the applicants that there is a 30-day period where someone can
175	appeal. The approval was based on the provided testimony and if any changes are made,
176	they will need to come back to the Board.
177	4. Chairman Wieck made a motion to approve the minutes for the ZBA meeting on February 26, 2025
178	for Case No. 2024-7. Mr. Stout seconded. All in favor by roll call, motion carried.
179	5. Appeal for Case No. 2024-7
180	a. Timothy Entwisle of 146 New Road submitted an appeal for Case No. 2024-7 on March 24,
181	2025 (within the 30-day appeal period).
182	b. Kal explained that Mr. Entwisle was unable to attend the third hearing $(2/26/25)$ for case 2024-7
183	and sent a statement via email to the Zoning Board the night before the hearing $(2/25/25)$ . Kal
184	did not check that email account on $2/26/25$ , so the letter wasn't seen and presented at the
185	hearing. When they discovered the error the following week, they contacted Mr. Entwisle and
186	let him know he had 30 days to appeal the decision if he wished to.
187	c. The Board read copies of the appeal letter.
188	d. There was discussion about if this appeal needed to be publicly noticed. The Board decided that
189	since testimony wouldn't be accepted anyway, noticing this discussion was unnecessary. If they decided to re been the accepted mend to be done
190 101	decided to re-hear the case, then noticing would need to be done.
191 192	e. There was discussion about if anything brought up in the letter warranted a rehearing. The Board leaned towards denving the appeal because Mr. Entwise had the opportunity to present
192	Board leaned towards denying the appeal because Mr. Entwisle had the opportunity to present

- this information at the first two hearings and testimony at the third hearing was strictly limited
  to new information regarding the items requested by the Board at the second hearing.
- f. The Board decided not to vote on the appeal at this meeting because not enough members who
  voted at the 2/26/25 hearing were present.
- 197 6. Administration
- 198a. Ms. Carlson brought up unexcused absences. The Board discussed that if someone can't attend,199they need to let Ms. Carlson know. Chairman Wieck will bring this up at the next meeting and200send out an email.
- b. The Board discussed making Scott Herrick a full member to replace Calvin Todd (since he is now on the Selectboard). Chairman Wieck will get in contact with Scott.
- c. There was discussion about if Brendan should still be an alternate now that he is a full member
  of the Planning Board. He legally can be, but it might be too much of a time commitment.
  Either way, the Board needs to find more alternates.
- d. Jim Wieck needs to reup since his term ends this year. There was discussion about how to
  stagger terms so that most of the Board isn't up at the same time. They decided that Jim Wieck
  and Scott Herrick should be given 3-year appointments ending in 2028, the new alternate
  should be given a 1-year appointment ending in 2026 and then reupped for 3 years after, and
  that Lisa Carlson should reup now so she can also be up in 2028.
- e. Ms. Carlson make a motion to reelected Jim Wieck as Chair. Mr. O'Brien seconded. All in favor
  by roll call, motion carried.
- f. Even though there are currently no applications waiting, the Board will meet next monthanyway for housekeeping items.
- g. Chairman Wieck made a motion that Scott Herrick be made a full member of the Board, on the
   condition that he accepts. Lisa seconded. All in favor by roll call, motion carried.
- h. Kal clarified that the ZBA no longer needs to post notice of hearings in the Concord Monitor
  because the Town consulted with counsel and decided that normal ZBA and Planning Board
  hearings don't need to be posted in the newspaper. Posting on the website and at the Sam Lake
  House was determined to satisfy the posting requirements.
- 221 7. Adjournment
  - a. The meeting was adjourned at 8:10 p.m.
- 222 223
- 224 Minutes submitted by Kathleen McKay, Administrative Assistant